

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/164,568		10/01/1998	RANDOLPH J. NOELLE	012712-572	6823	
7278	7590	08/31/2006		EXAMINER		
DARBY & DARBY P.C.				GAMBEL, PHILLIP		
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	L, NY .	10150-5257			FAFER NUMBER	
				1644		
				DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/164,568	NOELLE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art.Unit	
Phillip Gambel	1644	

zoros e are i sang es arrispe car zoros	Examiner	Aironn						
	Phillip Gambel	1644						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complication of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
AMENDMEN IS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031150					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		ecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		The same of the sa						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:		145						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>82-94</u> .		11						
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:		The same of the sa						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
See Continuation Sheet. 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other: PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D								
3. Uniner:								
		NNFH						
	FAIRWAY EX	-600 600						
		Spelal						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments and the examiner's rebuttal are essentially the same of record. See the previous Office Actions for a more detailed analysis. Upon reconsideration, it appears that the recitation of "human gp39" does receive the benefit of priority back to USSN 08/116,255.